

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,970	KISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ralph Gitomer	1655	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Ralph Gitomer.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Luke Kilyk.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 May 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicants representative was informed the amendment after final has been received and an advisory action is forthcoming.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claim 36 may be directed to a distinct invention.